

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FIAN

In re the Application of:)	Group Art Unit: 1722
Stephen T. Garelli)	
Serial Number: 10/051,200)	Examiner: Luk
Filed: January 17, 2002)	
Title: METHOD AND MOLD FOR MOLDING FLEXIBLE POLYMERIC ENVELOPES))	Response Under Rule 37 CFR §1.111
Attorney Docket: MSH – 206	<i>)</i>	May 9, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on February 8, 2005, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application on the basis of the following remarks.

REMARKS

Attached is a Status of Claims document consisting of three pages and eight claims.

Applicant confirms the election of Group I, claim 8 under the restriction requirement. All other claims have been withdrawn.

The Examiner has rejected claim 8 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fekete et al, U.S. 6,403,003 in view of Cole U.S. Patent 4,541,795.

Applicant has reviewed the office action, the references and the instant specification and claims and disagrees with the basis for the rejection of the instant claim. The Examiner states that Fekete teaches a molding method that comprises most of the components of the instant mold. In addition, the Examiner notes that Fekete provides a method consisting of most of the steps of the instant claim 8, except for teaching the